

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,108 09/17/2003		09/17/2003	Timothy W. Sheriff	17904-294811	4745
25764	7590	07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
FAEGRE			WOLFE, DEBRA M		
PATENT DOCKETING 2200 WELLS FARGO CENTER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				3725	
			•	DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	10/664,108	SHERIFF, TIMOTHY W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Debra Wolfe	3725	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommunication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da id will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	. •		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
, <u> </u>	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicated day of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest formula claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ul>		a)-(d) or (f).	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the praction application from the International Bure		red in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.	
Attachment(s)	🗖 .		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) L Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	• •	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0</li> </ol>		Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/664,108

Art Unit: 3725

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-13, drawn to a wire loop forming tool, classified in class 140, subclass I. 102.5.
- Claims 14-20, drawn to a method of forming wire loops, classified in class 140, II. subclass 71.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as a tool having the specific post and link recited in group I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

> Species I, the embodiment of the post and link shown in Figure 1, Species II, the embodiment of the post and link shown in Figure 14 Species III, the embodiment of the post and link shown in Figure 15 Species IV, the embodiment of the post and link shown in Figure 17.



Application/Control Number: 10/664,108

Art Unit: 3725

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).



Application/Control Number: 10/664,108

Art Unit: 3725

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra Wolfe whose telephone number is (571) 272-1904. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri 6am - 3:30pm with alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on (571) 272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Debra Wolfe Examiner Art Unit 3725

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700